

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,836	08/06/2003	Hisaki Kato	F03-354-US div	8623	
21254	7590 09/21/2004	EXAMINER			
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ROSE, KI	ROSE, KIESHA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
		DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_ 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/03,11/03,12/03. 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040914 Art Unit: 2822

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the filing of the application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15,19-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Koike et al. (U.S. Patent 5,945,689).

Koike discloses a light emitting device (Fig. 12) that uses gallium nitride compound semiconductor that comprises an emission layer (50) with a multi quantum well (MQW) structure, in which a AlGaN barrier layer (571) and well layer (572) are formed alternatively, a substrate (1) and a buffer layer (2) formed on the substrate, the buffer layer has a thickness of 500Å and is formed at a temperature of 400° C and the heat treatment is carried out in an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> gases. (Column 6, lines 13-20)

Application/Control Number: 10/634,836

Art Unit: 2822

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al.

Koike discloses all the limitations except for the buffer layer formed by physical vapor deposit, sputtering, ion plating or laser ablation and the thickness of the buffer layer and the temperature at which the buffer layer is formed. In regards to the buffer layer being formed by physical vapor deposit, sputtering, ion plating and laser ablation, A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao and Sato et al., 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (CCPA 1976) (footnote 3). See also In re Brown and Saffer, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972): In re Luck and Gainer, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC 1983) final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. Even though product -by [-] process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in

Application/Control Number: 10/634,836

Art Unit: 2822

product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted)."

In regards to claims 16,17 and 21 dealing with the thickness of the buffer layer and the temperature at which the buffer layer is to be formed, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a certain thickness for the buffer layer and a certain temperature to form the buffer layer, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. (1955)

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,836

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLR

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATER

TECHNOLOGY Cl. .... 2000